arXiv:1403.3308v1 [math.RA] 13 Mar 2014

Fusion rules from root systems I: case A_n

F. Rehren

March 14, 2014

Abstract

Axial algebras are commutative algebras generated by idempotents; they generalise associative algebras by allowing the idempotents to have additional eigenvectors, controlled by fusion rules. If the fusion rules are $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded, axial algebras afford representations of transposition groups. We consider axial representations of Weyl groups of simply-laced root systems, which are examples of regular 3-transposition groups. We introduce coset axes, a special class of idempotents based on embeddings of transposition groups, and use them to study the propagation of fusion rules in axial algebras, for root system A_n. This is related to the construction of lattice vertex operator algebras and we show it reflects on the fusion of modules for the Virasoro algebra when we specialise our construction.

1 Introduction

Inside a simple construction there is room for greater beauty and complexity to grow. This note studies the fusion rules that arise inside certain nonassociative 'axial' algebras stemming from simply-laced root systems. Our construction is an axial representations of Weyl groups, or more generally transposition groups. In special cases, we find the emerging complexity in our algebras is in intimate relation to the fusion rules for modules of the Virasoro algebra, an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra.

Our theory starts with root systems. In the 80s, these led to the first constructions of vertex operator algebras (VOAs) [FLM98], which are the inspiration behind axial algebras. Root systems are a powerful tool for analysing large families of VOAs, via, for example, so-called frames. These are related to the surprising fact that the Griess algebra (the celebrated 196884-dimensional algebra whose automorphism group was the first realisation of the Monster group [G82]) contains an associative subalgebra of dimension 48 [MN93], and this is the largest possible associative subalgebra [M96]. Further developments in this direction were made in [DLMN96], [DMZ91] and [DGH91].

In particular [DLMN96] discusses the weight-2 subalgebra of so-called lattice VOAs (for lattices of simply-laced root systems) and shows that they yield interesting representations of the Virasoro algebra. This is one point of departure for us. Another is [HRS13], which began the analysis of axial algebras related to 3-transposition groups. In combination of the two, for any 3-transposition group we construct a family of representations, on axial algebras, parametrised by α an eigenvalue. We find eigenvectors and their fusion rules for some special idempotents in the case of the Weyl group of A_n. This is the first time, as far as we are aware, that a description of families of fusion rules occurring in an algebra has been given. Then, in specialising α, we recover additional details about the [DLMN96] construction and glimpse a new point of view on the so-called minimal models of the Virasoro algebra.

We now outline this note and its results. The summary is followed by a discussion.

Chapter 2, which can be skimmed by the expert for notation, establishes the necessary notions and facts as follows. Section 2.1 first defines fusion rules, which describe the multiplication of submodules of an algebra analogous to the description of multiplication of elements by structure constants. An axis is an idempotent the multiplication of whose eigenspaces is described by a specified fusion rules; an axial algebra is a nonassociative algebra generated by axes. If the fusion rules satisfy a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-grading property, we can also study the automorphism groups of axial algebras and we define axial representations of transposition groups. We also briefly describe axial representations of 3-transposition groups; this is related to [HRS13]. Such representations are an essential constituent of this paper. Section 2.2 summarises results about transposition-, Coxeter- and Weyl groups. Section 2.3 sketches facts about the Virasoro algebra; more comprehensive treatment could be found in [CFT].

Chapter 3 contains the substance of this note. We introduce coset axes in Definition 3.1, which are idempotents in the representation of a transposition group derived from embeddings of subtransposition groups. Together with some straightforward results on identities of algebras, this is Section 3.1. We then specialise to the case of the root system A_n for Section 3.2 and the remainder of this paper. In Theorem 3.10 we give the 5 possible eigenvalues and their fusion rules for coset axes arising from embeddings of root systems $\mathrm{A}_\ell \subseteq \mathrm{A}_m$, which we can deduce from the properties of identities of subalgebras. In Section 3.3, we introduce a bilinear form for some simple results on the length of coset axes. As mentioned in [DLMN96], this is evocative of the celebrated coset construction of [GKO85], but our construction depends on the rank of the root system with fixed level equal to 1 for its affine lie algebra. In Section 3.4, we discuss the specialisation of our construction that allows an embedding in the weight-2 subalgebra of lattice VOAs, and recovers the situation of [DLMN96]. Here our results on eigenvalues and fusion rules become, in Observation 3.16, results about the fusion rules of Virasoro algebras, which are Clebsch–Gordan-type coefficients for the decomposition into irreducibles of the fusion of two modules. In particular, we recover the highest weights for some points in the bottom left corner of the Kac table (which classifies the possible highest weights, see, e.g. [CFT] §7.3.3) for a unitary irreducible representation of central charge less than 1. Finally, in Section 3.5, we examine the additional eigenvalues in the A_n case (Lemma 3.18) found in a construction generalising weight-2 subalgebras of lattice VOAs. Its specialisation picks out two further points, in Observation 3.21, of the Kac table.

The small, fixed window on the Kac table that is opened is evidently in search of explanation. Speculatively, perhaps the eigenvalues of the identities i, j for the coset axis $i-j$ line the sides of the Kac table. Observe that we only observe points $h^c_{r,s}$ whose coordinates (r, s) have r, s both odd. A consequence of the fusion rules for the Virasoro algebra is that there is no $\mathbb{Z}/2$-grading, in this case, unless one of r, s is even; this follows from [W93]. We do not know of a construction $\tilde{A}_\Phi(G, D)$ that generalises $A^\alpha_3(G, D)$ and $\hat{A}^\alpha_3(G, D)$ and, in its specialisation, recovers any further highest weights in the Kac table for coset axes when $(G, D) = W(\mathrm{A}_n)$.

The equation (36) seems to be a hint that a more general construction of lattice VOAs is possible. In particular, the coset axis $x_{\mathrm{A}_2/\mathrm{A}_1}$ in $A_3^{1/32}(\mathrm{A}_n)$ has central charge $\frac{21}{22}$, matching $\mathrm{Vir}_{c(12,11)}$, and the observed eigenvalues of the former match the highest weights of the latter. The coset axes $x_{\mathrm{A}_i/\mathrm{A}_{i-1}}$, $i \geq 3$, have central charge greater than 1, so if such a lattice VOA exists we also have observations on highest weights in the continuous sectors.

We have focused on results for the root system A_n. The case D_n has a different flavour, since the coset axes have central charge 1 in the specialisation (see (35)), and their fusion rules are $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded, so these axes give rise to Miyamoto automorphisms of the algebra. This case will be considered in a later work.

I thank Alonso Castillo-Ramirez and Oliver Gray for many stimulating discussions.

2 Background

2.1 Fusion rules and axial things

A fusion rules is a simple bookkeeping device that we use to describe properties of axes.

2.1 Definition. *A* fusion rules *over a field* k *is a finite collection of* eigenvalues $\Phi \subseteq \mathrm{k}$ *and a map* $\star\colon \Phi \times \Phi \to 2^\Phi$. *We will often allow* Φ *to stand for the pair* $(\Phi, \star)$.

We give three examples of fusion rules: $\Phi_{\mathrm{ass}} = (\{1,0\}, \star_{\mathrm{ass}})$, $\Phi_3^\alpha = (\{1,0,\alpha\}, \star_3)$ for any $\alpha \in \mathrm{k} \smallsetminus \{1,0\}$, and $\mathfrak{V}(5,3) = (\{1,0,\frac{1}{10},-\frac{1}{40},\frac{3}{8}\}, \star_{(5,3)})$. The fusion rules are below. Since these fusion rules, as all the fusion rules that we will consider, have a commutative map $\star$, we omit the symmetric entries.

$\star_{\mathrm{ass}}$	1	0
1	$\{1\}$	$\emptyset$
0		$\{0\}$

$\star_3$	1	0	α
1	$\{1\}$	$\emptyset$	$\{\alpha\}$
0		$\{0\}$	$\{\alpha\}$
α			$\{1,0\}$

$\star_{(5,3)}$	1	0	$\frac{1}{10}$	$-\frac{1}{40}$	$\frac{3}{8}$
1	$\{1\}$	$\emptyset$	$\{\frac{1}{10}\}$	$\{-\frac{1}{40}\}$	$\{\frac{3}{8}\}$
0		$\{0\}$	$\{\frac{1}{10}\}$	$\{-\frac{1}{40}\}$	$\{\frac{3}{8}\}$
$\frac{1}{10}$			$\{1,0,\frac{1}{10}\}$	$\{-\frac{1}{40},\frac{3}{8}\}$	$\{-\frac{1}{40}\}$
$-\frac{1}{40}$				$\{1,0,\frac{1}{10}\}$	$\{\frac{1}{10}\}$
$\frac{3}{8}$					$\{1,0\}$

The next definition will clarify how we use fusion rules. Let A be a nonassociative commutative algebra over k, that is, a k-vector space with a not-necessarily-associative commutative multiplication. Denote by $\mathrm{ad}(a) \in \mathrm{End}(A)$ the map $b \mapsto ab$ of left-multiplication (which coincides with right-multiplication) for $a \in A$. We use the notation $A_\lambda^a = \{b \in A \mid \mathrm{ad}(a)b = \lambda b\}$ for the set of λ-eigenvectors of $\mathrm{ad}(a)$ in A. The element $a \in A$ is said to be *semisimple* if A decomposes as a direct sum of eigenspaces with respect to $\mathrm{ad}(a)$, that is, if there exist $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \in \mathrm{k}$ such that

$$A = A_{\lambda_1}^a \oplus A_{\lambda_2}^a \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{\lambda_n}^a. \tag{1}$$

Furthermore, we fix the following notations:

$$A_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_m}^a = A_{\{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_m\}}^a = A_{\mu_1}^a + A_{\mu_2}^a + \cdots + A_{\mu_m}^a. \tag{2}$$

Finally, recall that a is an *idempotent* if $aa = a$.

2.2 Definition. *An element* $x \in A$ *is a* Φ-axis*, for* Φ *a fusion rules, if* x *is a semisimple idempotent for which* $A = A_\Phi^x = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Phi} A_\lambda^x$ *such that* $A_\lambda^x A_\mu^x \subseteq A_{\lambda\star\mu}^x$.

2.3 Definition. *A nonassociative commutative algebra* A *is a* Φ-axial algebra *if* A *can be generated by a set of* Φ*-axes in* A.

Let us give a simple example. Suppose that A is an *associative* commutative algebra, containing a semisimple idempotent x. Then simple calculations show that $A = A_1^x \oplus A_0^x$, and the product of eigenvectors matches $\star_{\mathrm{ass}}$, whence x is a Φ_{ass}-axis. We delay slightly before giving a less shallow example: of a Φ_3^α-axial algebra.

If $a \in A$ is a Φ-axis, the existence of a grading on Φ will lead to the existence of automorphisms of A. This is of crucial interest to us.

2.4 Definition. *A fusion rules* $(\Phi, \star)$ *is* $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded *if there exists a partition* $\Phi_+ \cup \Phi_-$ *of the eigenvalues* Φ *such that, for* $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \{+, -\}$ *and* $\lambda \in \Phi_\varepsilon, \mu \in \Phi_{\varepsilon'}$, $\lambda \star \mu \subseteq \Phi_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}$.

2.5 Definition. *If* Φ *is a* $\mathbb{Z}/2$*-graded fusion rules, realised as* $\Phi = \Phi_+ \cup \Phi_-$, *and* $x \in A$ *is a* Φ*-axis, then the* Miyamoto involution $\tau(x)$ *for* x *is an automorphism of* A *acting as*

$$a^{\tau(x)} = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \in A^x_{\Phi_+} \\ -a & \text{if } a \in A^x_{\Phi_-} \end{cases},$$

and extending linearly from the eigenvectors to the entire space.

Suppose that Φ is $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded, and that A is a Φ-axial algebra. Then the subgroup of automorphisms generated by Miyamoto involutions has an interesting group-theoretic structure.

2.6 Definition. *A* transposition group *is a pair* (G, D), *where* G *is a group and* $D \subseteq G$ *is a normal generating subset of involutions from* G.

Thus, if D is the (normal closure) of a set of Miyamoto involutions from a set of Φ-axes in the algebra A, then $(\langle D \rangle, D)$ forms a transposition group, lying inside $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$.

The best-known example of transposition groups are Fischer's 3-transposition group namely those in which the order of the product of any two elements of D is at most 3. They have been classified [CH95]. For a general transposition group and $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded fusion rules, we have

2.7 Definition. *A* Φ-axial representation *of a transposition group* (G, D) *is a mapping* $\rho \colon D \to A$, A *a* Φ*-axial algebra and* Φ $\mathbb{Z}/2$*-graded, such that for all* $d \in D$, $d^\rho \in A$ *is a* Φ*-axis, the algebra* A *is generated by the image* $\{d^\rho \mid d \in D\}$ *of* ρ, *and for* $c, d \in D$, $(c^\rho)^{\tau(d^\rho)} = (c^d)^\rho$.

The 3-transposition groups in particular are closely related to the Φ_3^α fusion rules, which can be $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded as $(\Phi_3^\alpha)_+ = \{1, 0\}$ and $(\Phi_3^\alpha)_- = \{\alpha\}$. It turns out that Miyamoto automorphisms from any Φ_3^α-axes form a 3-transposition group [HRS13], and any 3-transposition group has a faithful Φ_3-axial representation. We describe this in more detail; it will be used in the sequel.

2.8 Definition. *For* (G, D) *a* 3*-transposition group, define* $A = A_3^\alpha(G, D)$ *as the algebra with underlying vector space* $\mathbb{Q}\{d^\rho \mid d \in D\}$ *and multiplication, for some* $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}$,

$$c^\rho d^\rho = \begin{cases} d^\rho & \text{if } c = d \\ 0 & \text{if } [c, d] = 1 \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}(c^\rho + d^\rho - (c^d)^\rho) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Denote the mapping $d \mapsto d^\rho$ *by* $\rho = \rho_3^\alpha \colon D \to A$.

That A is commutative follows from the fact that if $c \neq d$ and $[c, d] \neq 1$, then $|cd| = 3$, $\langle c, d \rangle \cong \mathrm{Sym}(3)$ and $c^d = d^c$. Then d^ρ is a Φ_3^α-axis for all d, and the representation is constructed to satisfy $(c^d)^\rho = (c^\rho)^{\tau(d^\rho)}$, so ρ satisfies the Definition 2.7 of a Φ_3^α-axial representation. For further discussion, see also [HRS13]. The algebra A is called the Matsuo algebra associated to (G, D).

There are two interesting properties of A that we will remark upon. Firstly, A admits a bilinear form $\langle , \rangle$, defined by

$$\langle c^\rho, d^\rho \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c = d \\ 0 & \text{if } [c, d] = 1 \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

(The product $c^\rho d^\rho$ might now be described more concisely as $\langle c^\rho, d^\rho \rangle (c^\rho + d^\rho - (c^d)^\rho)$, in all cases.)

Secondly, the Φ_3^α-axes d^ρ, $d \in D$, in A are *primitive* idempotents, that is, the 1-eigenspace of d^ρ is precisely $\langle d^\rho \rangle$, hence 1-dimensional. In particular a primitive idempotent is not decomposable as a sum of pairwise annihilating idempotents. (In an associative algebra, a nonprimitive idempotent whose 1-eigenspace has dimension d has a decomposition into d pairwise annihilating idempotents, but this is not necessarily the case in our situation.) We have a greater interest in primitive idempotents, since properties of nonprimitive idempotents may be easily deduced from those of the idempotents in their decomposition.

Finally a general fact of interest:

2.9 Lemma. *Let $\phi\colon D \to A$ be a Φ_3^α-axial representation of a 3-transposition group (G, D). Then A is a quotient of $A_3^\alpha(G, D)$.* □

2.2 Coxeter, Weyl and transposition groups

A *Coxeter group* is a group G satisfying a certain presentation specified by a matrix $(C_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le n}$:

$$G = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \mid (s_i s_j)^{C_{ij}} = 1 \rangle, \text{ s.t. } C_{ii} = 1, C_{ij} = C_{ji} \quad \forall i, j. \tag{4}$$

Thus G is generated by involutions, and therefore with $D = {s_1}^G \cup {s_2}^G \cup \cdots \cup {s_n}^G$, the Coxeter group G can be realised as a transposition group (G, D) in a standard way.

We will concentrate on the *Weyl groups*: the Coxeter groups satisfying the crystallographic condition, thus being associated to root systems and simple Lie algebras. (Suppose that $(C_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le n}$ is the Cartan matrix associated to a simple Lie algebra. The corresponding Coxeter matrix C' has entries $C'_{ii} = 1$ and, for $i \neq j$, $C'_{ij} = 2, 3, 4, 6$ if $C_{ij}C_{ji} = 0, 1, 2, 3$ respectively.)

The Weyl group of a root system X_n may be denoted $W(\mathrm{X}_n) = (G(\mathrm{X}_n), D(\mathrm{X}_n))$, the latter emphasising its structure as a transposition group. Since this is unambiguous, we will also use the notation $A_3^\alpha(\mathrm{X}_n)$ for the $A_3^\alpha(G(\mathrm{X}_n), D(\mathrm{X}_n))$ of Definition 2.8. The Weyl group of the root system A_n is $(\mathrm{Sym}(n+1), (1,2)^{\mathrm{Sym}(n+1)})$; of D_n, $n \ge 4$, it is $G(\mathrm{D}_n) = 2^{n-1} : \mathrm{Sym}(n)$, with transpositions $D(\mathrm{D}_n)$ the preimage of $(1,2)^{\mathrm{Sym}(n)}$ in $G(\mathrm{D}_n)$ under the quotient by the normal subgroup 2^{n-1}.

In particular, we are mostly interested in simply-laced root systems here: those of types $\mathrm{A}_n, \mathrm{D}_n, \mathrm{E}_n$. We list some results. The root system X_n is simply-laced if and only if $W(\mathrm{X}_n)$ is a 3-transposition group. Recall that the *rank* of a root system X_n is n. The *Coxeter number* h_{X_n} is equal to the dual Coxeter number $h^\vee_{\mathrm{X}_n}$ exactly when X_n is simply-laced. The Coxeter number of $\mathrm{A}_n, \mathrm{D}_n, \mathrm{E}_6, \mathrm{E}_7, \mathrm{E}_8$ is $n+1, 2n-2, 12, 18, 30$ respectively.

If (G, D) is transposition group, then, with respect to any $d \in D$, D has a partition

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \{d\} \cup \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d) \cup \mathrm{C}_D^{\times}(d), \text{ where} \\ \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d) &= \{c \in D \mid [c,d] \neq 1\} = D - \mathrm{C}_D(d), \\ \mathrm{C}_D^{\times}(d) &= \{c \in D \smallsetminus \{d\} \mid [c,d] = 1\} = \mathrm{C}_D(d) \setminus \{d\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

In particular, the set $\mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)$ is the collection of neighbours of d in the noncommuting graph on D. It will be very helpful to us when the sizes of the sets in the partition are constant for changing $d \in D$. In this case, the commuting and noncommuting graphs of D are regular graphs, and by extension we will then also call (G, D) *regular*. In particular, (G, D) is called *k-regular* if $|\mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)| = k$ for all $d \in D$. It is clear that if D is a single conjugacy class in G, then $|C_D(d)| = |C_{D^g}(d^g)| = |C_D(d^g)|$ for all $g \in G$ and this implies that (G, D) is regular. It is well-known (cf. Chap. IV, 1.11, Prop. 32 of [B68]) that the Weyl group of a simply-laced root system X_n is regular: namely, $2h_{\mathrm{X}_n} - 4$-regular.

2.3 The Virasoro algebra

The Virasoro algebra is a central extension of the Witt algebra with the following presentation:

$$\mathrm{Vir} = \mathbb{C}\{L_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \mathbb{C}c, \quad [L_i, L_j] = (i-j)L_{i+j} + \frac{1}{12}i(i^2-1)\delta_{i,-j}c, \quad [c, \mathrm{Vir}] = 0. \tag{6}$$

Since c is central, it acts by a scalar on any module of Vir. This scalar is also denoted c. It turns out that the value of c is decisive in determining the representations of Vir. Denote by Vir_c the Virasoro algebra restricted in its possible actions on a given module to $c \in \mathrm{Vir}$ taking the value $c \in \mathbb{C}$ on the module. In particular, Vir_c only has finitely many irreducible modules (up to isomorphism) exactly when c is [W93]

$$c = c(p,q) = 1 - \frac{6(p-q)^2}{pq} \text{ for } p, q \in \{2, 3, \dots\} \text{ coprime.} \tag{7}$$

In this case, the modules may be distinguished by the possible eigenvalues of L_0 on their highest weight vectors, for which the only possibilities are

$$h_{r,s}^{c(p,q)} = \frac{(sp-rq)^2 - (p-q)^2}{4pq}, \quad 1 \le r < p, 1 \le s < q. \tag{8}$$

The tensor product of two modules is again a module, but the central charges (the action of c) sum. Instead, *fusion* of modules allows a decomposition into irreducibles of the same, original, central charge. This can be described on the level of the highest weights: namely [W93],

$$h_{r,s}^{c(p,q)} \star h_{t,u}^{c(p,q)} \subseteq \left\{ h_{v,w}^{c(p,q)} \middle| \begin{matrix} 1 + |r-t| \le v \le \min\{r+t-1, 2p-r-t-1\}, & v \equiv_2 1+r+t \\ 1 + |s-u| \le w \le \min\{s+u-1, 2q-s-u-1\}, & w \equiv_2 1+s+u \end{matrix} \right\}. \tag{9}$$

The fusion rules $\mathfrak{V}(5,3)$ that we gave are derived from those of $\mathrm{Vir}_{c(5,3)}$. The recipe for so doing is that the eigenvalues of the new fusion rules are $\{\frac{h}{2} \mid h \text{ h.w. } \mathrm{Vir}_c\} \cup \{1\}$, and the rules $\star$ are the same, with some additional rules for 1. The rescaling comes from VOAs.

3 Coset axes

3.1 Identities and coset axes

3.1 Definition. *Suppose that $\rho\colon D \to A$ is a Φ-axial representation of the transposition group (G, D). Let $(K, F) \subseteq (H, E) \subseteq (G, D)$ be subtransposition groups, that is, $K \subseteq H \subseteq G$ and $E = H \cap D, F = K \cap E$. Then, if the subalgebras $\langle E^\rho \rangle$ and $\langle F^\rho \rangle$ admit identity elements $\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} \in \langle E^\rho \rangle$ and $\mathrm{id}_{F^\rho} \in \langle F^\rho \rangle$ respectively, the* coset axis of H/K *is*

$$e_{H/K} = \mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} - \mathrm{id}_{F^\rho}\,.$$

Coset axes have a distinguished rôle in part because they afford decompositions of the identity idempotents:

3.2 Lemma. id_{E^ρ} *decomposes as* $\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} = e_{H/K} + \mathrm{id}_{F^\rho}$ *into pairwise annihilating idempotents.*

Proof. To show that $e_{H/K}$ and id_{F^ρ} annihilate: $e_{H/K}\,\mathrm{id}_{F^\rho} = (\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} - \mathrm{id}_{F^\rho})\,\mathrm{id}_{F^\rho} = \mathrm{id}_{F^\rho} - \mathrm{id}_{F^\rho} = 0$. Also, $e_{H/K}$ is an idempotent, for

$$e_{H/K}e_{H/K} = \mathrm{id}_{E^\rho}\,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} - 2\,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho}\,\mathrm{id}_{F^\rho} + \mathrm{id}_{F^\rho}\,\mathrm{id}_{F^\rho} = \mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} - \mathrm{id}_{F^\rho} = e_{H/K}. \qquad \square$$

Of course the terms $e_{H/K}$ and id_{F^ρ} may themselves admit further decompositions. Recall from Section 2.1 that an idempotent is primitive if its 1-eigenspace is 1-dimensional, and that in this case it cannot be decomposed.

3.3 Lemma. *The coset axis $e_{H/K}$ is primitive only if* $\dim A_1^{\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho}} \cap A_0^{\mathrm{id}_{F^\rho}} = 1$.

Proof. Evidently, if $x \in A_1^{\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho}} \cap A_0^{\mathrm{id}_{F^\rho}}$, then $e_{H/K}x = 1x - 0x = x$, so $x \in A_1^{e_{H/K}}$. □

We say that the embedding $(K, F) \subseteq (H, E)$ is *primitive (with respect to ρ)* if $e_{H/K}$ is primitive.

Suppose that e is an idempotent in the algebra A and admits a decomposition into pairwise annihilating idempotents $e_1, \dots, e_n$. Then the algebra $B \subseteq A$ spanned by the $e_1, \dots, e_n$ is evidently associative, since the algebra $\langle e_i \rangle$ is trivially associative and B is isomorphic to $\langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus \langle e_n \rangle$.

Hence coset axes are related to associative subalgebras. In fact, consider the inclusions $(1, \{\}) \subseteq (C_2, \{t\}) \subseteq (G, D)$, where we allow $(1, \{\})$ as a transposition group for the moment, and $t \in D$ generates C_2. Then we extend the definition of a coset axis to encompass $e_{C_2/1} = t^\rho$. (As t^ρ is a primitive axis, the embedding $(1, \{\}) \subseteq (C_2, \{t\})$ is considered primitive.) Thus a chain of embeddings $(1, \{\}) \subset \cdots \subset (H, E) \subset \cdots \subset (G, D)$ yields a collection of coset axes spanning an associative subalgebra of A. If it can be shown that each embedding of the chain yields a proper embedding of subalgebras with $\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} \neq \mathrm{id}_{F^\rho}$ for any $(H, E) \subset (K, F)$, then the dimension of the associative subalgebra is the number of nontrivial transposition groups in the chain. The associative subalgebra is maximal if every embedding in the chain is primitive.

Throughout the rest of this section, we specialise to the case where A is isomorphic to the Φ_3^α-axial algebra $A_3^\alpha(G, D)$, with representation $\rho = \rho_3^\alpha$ of the 3-transposition group (G, D).

3.4 Lemma. *Set* $\sum D^\rho = \sum_{d \in D} d^\rho$. *Then* $d^\rho \sum D^\rho = (1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}|\mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)|)d^\rho$.

Proof. From (5), we have that

$$d^\rho \sum D^\rho = d^\rho d^\rho + d^\rho \sum_{c \in \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)} c^\rho + d^\rho \sum_{c \in \mathrm{C}_D^{\times}(d)} c^\rho = d^\rho + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{c \in \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)} (d^\rho + c^\rho - (c^d)^\rho) + \sum_{c \in \mathrm{C}_D^{\times}(d)} 0 = d^\rho + \frac{\alpha}{2}|\mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)|d^\rho, \quad (10)$$

where the last equality follows since, as c ranges over $\mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)$, so does c^d, and hence in the sum over $\mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)$ the contributions of terms c^ρ and $(c^d)^\rho$ cancel. □

3.5 Corollary. *If (G, D) is k-regular, then $A_3^\alpha(G, D)$ admits an identity* $\mathrm{id}_A = \dfrac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha k} \sum D^\rho$. □

3.6 Corollary. *The algebra $A_3^\alpha(G(\mathrm{X}_n), D(\mathrm{X}_n))$ has an identity when X_n is of type $\mathrm{A}_n, \mathrm{D}_n, \mathrm{E}_6, \mathrm{E}_7, \mathrm{E}_8$: respectively,*

$$\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{A}_n} = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha(n-1)} \sum D(\mathrm{A}_n)^\rho, \quad \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{D}_n} = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha(2n-4)} \sum D(\mathrm{D}_n)^\rho,$$

$$\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{E}_6} = \frac{1}{1 + 10\alpha} \sum D(\mathrm{E}_6)^\rho, \quad \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{E}_7} = \frac{1}{1 + 16\alpha} \sum D(\mathrm{E}_7)^\rho, \quad \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{E}_8} = \frac{1}{1 + 28\alpha} \sum D(\mathrm{E}_8)^\rho. \quad \square$$

As an aside, we remark that idempotents of this kind may have application to the (algorithmic) search for idempotents in our algebras. Since idempotents play a central rôle for us (and see also the remarks in Section 3.4 relating to Miyamoto's theorem), this is an important question. It is easy to check that not all idempotents are expressible as id_B or $\mathrm{id}_B - \mathrm{id}_C$ for $C \subseteq B$ subalgebras of A; for example, this is visible already in $A_3^\alpha(\mathrm{A}_3)$ [CR13]. On the other hand, a large number are, and the computational easiness of finding id_B for arbitrary $B \subseteq A$ makes this useful.

3.2 Eigenvalues and fusion rules for A_n

We now investigate the behaviour of these identity elements embedded in larger algebras, in the case of the Weyl group of A_n. Recall that $G(\mathrm{A}_n)$ is $\mathrm{Sym}(n+1)$.

3.7 Lemma. *Fix an embedding $W(\mathrm{A}_{m-1}) \subseteq W(\mathrm{A}_{n-1})$, the latter acting on $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $D = D(\mathrm{A}_{n-1}) = (1,2)^{W(\mathrm{A}_{n-1})}$ and $E = D(\mathrm{A}_{m-1}) = G(\mathrm{A}_{m-1}) \cap D$. Let $\rho = \rho_3^\alpha \colon D \to A = A_3^\alpha(\mathrm{A}_{n-1})$. Then id_{E^ρ} has*

$$1\text{-eigenvectors:} \quad e^\rho \text{ for } e \in E, \tag{11}$$

$$0\text{-eigenvectors:} \quad d^\rho \text{ for } d \in \mathrm{C}_D(E), \tag{12}$$

in A, and, as a, b range over $\mathrm{C}_E^{\mathrm{c}}(\Omega) = \mathrm{Supp}_\Omega(\mathrm{Sym}(m))$ and z over $\mathrm{C}_E(\Omega) = \mathrm{Fix}_\Omega(\mathrm{Sym}(m))$:

$$0\text{-eigenvectors:} \quad \sum_{c \in \mathrm{C}_E^{\mathrm{c}}(\Omega)} (cz)^\rho - \alpha \, \mathrm{id}_{E^\rho}, \tag{13}$$

$$\eta(m)\text{-eigenvectors:} \quad 2(1+\alpha(m-2))\left((az)^\rho - (bz)^\rho\right) + \alpha(m-2) \sum_{c \in \mathrm{C}_E^{\mathrm{c}}(\Omega) \setminus \{a,b\}} \left((ac)^\rho - (bc)^\rho\right), \tag{14}$$

where $\eta(m) = \dfrac{\alpha m}{2 + 2\alpha(m-2)}$. These are all the eigenvectors of id_{E^ρ} in A.

Proof. The 1-eigenvectors follow by Corollary 3.5. For the 0-eigenvectors, if $d \in D$ commutes with all $e \in E$, it follows that $d^\rho e^\rho = 0$ and hence by linearity $d^\rho \, \mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} = 0$, proving (12). For the other 0-eigenvectors, with fixed $(ab) \in E$ and $z \in \mathrm{C}_E(\Omega)$ we calculate

$$(ab)^\rho \sum_{c \in \mathrm{C}_E^{\mathrm{c}}(\Omega)} (cz)^\rho = (ab)^\rho((az)^\rho + (bz)^\rho) = \frac{\alpha}{2}((ab)^\rho + (az)^\rho - (bz)^\rho + (ab)^\rho + (bz)^\rho - (az)^\rho) = \alpha (ab)^\rho, \tag{15}$$

since $[(ab), (cz)] = 1$ unless $c \in \{a, b\}$. Extending linearly, it follows that

$$\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho}\Big(\sum_{c \in \mathrm{C}_E^{\mathrm{c}}(\Omega)} (cz)^\rho\Big) = \alpha \, \mathrm{id}_{E^\rho}. \tag{16}$$

By subtracting this term (16) from $\sum_{c \in \mathrm{C}_E^{\mathrm{c}}(\Omega)} (cz)^\rho$, we obtain the 0-eigenvector of (13).

Now fix $a, b \in \mathrm{C}_E^{\mathrm{c}}(\Omega)$ as well as $z \in \mathrm{C}_E(\Omega)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left((az)^\rho - (bz)^\rho\right) \sum E^\rho &= \left((az)^\rho - (bz)^\rho\right) \Big((ab)^\rho + \sum_{c \in \mathrm{C}_E^{\mathrm{c}}(\Omega) \setminus \{a,b\}} \left((ca)^\rho + (cb)^\rho\right)\Big) \\
&= \left((az)^\rho - (bz)^\rho\right)(ab)^\rho + \sum_{c \in \mathrm{C}_E^{\mathrm{c}}(\Omega) \setminus \{a,b\}} \left((az)^\rho (ca)^\rho - (bz)^\rho (cb)^\rho\right) \\
&= \frac{\alpha}{2}\left(2(az)^\rho - 2(bz)^\rho\right) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{c \in \mathrm{C}_E^{\mathrm{c}}(\Omega) \setminus \{a,b\}} \left((az)^\rho + (ca)^\rho - (cz)^\rho - (bz)^\rho - (cb)^\rho + (cz)^\rho\right) \\
&= \left(\alpha + \frac{\alpha}{2}(m-2)\right)\left((az)^\rho - (bz)^\rho\right) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{c \in \mathrm{C}_E^{\mathrm{c}}(\Omega) \setminus \{a,b\}} \left((ac)^\rho - (bc)^\rho\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Again, we rescale and since $(ac)^\rho - (bc)^\rho$ is a 1-eigenvector for id_{E^ρ}, we can subtract the sum $\sum_{c \in \mathrm{C}_E^{\mathrm{c}}(\Omega) \setminus \{a,b\}} ((ac)^\rho - (bc)^\rho)$ entirely and arrive at the $\eta(m)$-eigenvector in (14).

It only remains to show that we have found all eigenvectors. Denote the λ-eigenspace of id_{E^ρ} by A_λ for the remainder of this proof. We see that $E^\rho \leq A_1$ has dimension $|E| = \frac{1}{2}m(m-1)$. Also, $\mathrm{C}_D(E)^\rho \leq A_0$ has dimension $|\mathrm{C}_D(E)| = \frac{1}{2}(n-m)(n-m-1)$. For $z \in \mathrm{C}_E(\Omega)$, set $\phi(z)$ to equal (13). Then $\phi(\mathrm{C}_E(\Omega)) \leq A_0$ has dimension $|\mathrm{C}_E(\Omega)| = n - m$, as by inspection different basis vectors occur in each $\phi(z)$. Moreover, these are disjoint to the basis vectors supporting

$\mathrm{C}_D(E)^\rho$, so $\dim A_0 \geq |\mathrm{C}_D(E)| + |\mathrm{C}_E(\Omega)| = \frac{1}{2}(n-m)(n-m-1) + n - m = \frac{1}{2}(n-m)(n-m+1)$. Finally, write $\psi(a,b,c)$ to denote the expression (14), for $a, b \in \mathrm{C}_E^{\mathrm{c}}(\Omega)$ and $z \in \mathrm{C}_E(\Omega)$. Then $\dim \operatorname{im} \psi \geq (m-1)(n-m)$; for, fix $a \in \mathrm{C}_E^{\mathrm{c}}(\Omega)$, and observe that $\dim \psi(a, \mathrm{C}_E^{\mathrm{c}}(\Omega), \mathrm{C}_E(\Omega)) = (m-1)(n-m)$, for each expression of the form $\psi(a,b,z)$ is the unique one in which the basis vector $(bz)^\rho$ has a nonzero coefficient. Now note that the lower bounds for the dimensions of the eigenspaces sum to the dimension of the entire space A:

$$\frac{1}{2}m(m-1) + \frac{1}{2}(n-m)(n-m+1) + (m-1)(n-m) = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1). \qquad \square$$

3.8 Lemma. *The coset axis $x = x_{\mathrm{Sym}(m)/\mathrm{Sym}(\ell)}$ in $A = A_3^\alpha(\mathrm{A}_n)$ has eigenvalues 1, 0 and*

$$\eta(m) = \frac{\alpha m}{2 + 2\alpha(m-2)}, \quad 1 - \eta(\ell) = \frac{2 + \alpha(\ell-4)}{2 + 2\alpha(\ell-2)}, \quad \eta(m) - \eta(\ell) = \frac{2\alpha(1-2\alpha)(m-\ell)}{(2+2\alpha(m-2))(2+2\alpha(\ell-2))},$$

if $n \geq m > \ell \geq 3$; it has less eigenvalues for other choices of n, m, ℓ.

Proof. We first fix some notations: as usual, $D = (1,2)^{\mathrm{Sym}(n)}$; also denote by id_i the identity of the subalgebra $\langle (D \cap \mathrm{Sym}(i))^\rho \rangle$, where we understand $\mathrm{Sym}(i)$ to have a fixed embedding in $\mathrm{Sym}(n)$. We will show that $\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{id}_\ell)$ and $\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{id}_m)$ are simultaneously diagonalisable, satisfying

$$A_1^{\mathrm{id}_\ell} \subseteq A_1^{\mathrm{id}_m}, \quad A_0^{\mathrm{id}_m} \subseteq A_0^{\mathrm{id}_\ell}, \quad A_{\eta(m)}^{\mathrm{id}_m} \subseteq A_{0,\eta(\ell)}^{\mathrm{id}_\ell}.$$

Together with Lemma 3.7, this implies the result: the possible eigenvectors of $x = \mathrm{id}_m - \mathrm{id}_\ell$ are easily determined, and the eigenvalues of x are differences of eigenvalues of id_m and id_ℓ.

The 1-eigenspace of id_m can be seen in the rôle of the algebra A in Lemma 3.7. Thus we have a decomposition of the 1-eigenspace of id_m with respect to id_ℓ. Therefore the eigenvalues of $e = \mathrm{id}_m - \mathrm{id}_\ell$, restricted to $A_1^{\mathrm{id}_m}$, are precisely $1 - \{1, 0, \eta(\ell)\} = \{0, 1, 1 - \eta(\ell)\}$.

Observe that $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Sym}(\ell)}(D) \supseteq \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Sym}(m)}(D)$, and hence every 0-eigenvector of id_m of type (12) is a 0-eigenvector of id_ℓ. Furthermore, with $S_i = \mathrm{Supp}_{\mathrm{Sym}(i)}(\{1, \ldots, n\})$ and $z \notin \S_m$,

$$\mathrm{id}_\ell\Big(\sum_{c \in S_m} (cz)^\rho - \alpha\, \mathrm{id}_m\Big) = \mathrm{id}_\ell \sum_{c \in S_\ell} (cz)^\rho + \mathrm{id}_\ell \sum_{c \in S_m - S_\ell} (cz)^\rho - \alpha\, \mathrm{id}_\ell\,. \tag{17}$$

Note that, if $c \in S_m - S_z$ and $z \notin S_m \supseteq S_\ell$ then $(cz) \in \mathrm{C}_D(\mathrm{Sym}(\ell))$ and $\mathrm{id}_\ell(cz)^\rho = 0$, so the middle term may be cancelled. The two remaining terms are recognised as equal by (16), and hence cancel. So 0-eigenvectors of type (13) for id_m are also 0-eigenvectors for id_ℓ.

We may finally treat $\eta(m)$-eigenvectors of id_m. Rather than go through an explicit computation, observe that $A = A_1^{\mathrm{id}_m} + A_0^{\mathrm{id}_m} + A_{\eta(m)}^{\mathrm{id}_m}$, and since we have shown that $A_1^{\mathrm{id}_m}$ and $A_0^{\mathrm{id}_m}$ are $\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{id}_\ell)$-modules and A is a vector space, hence splits, we have that $A_{\eta(m)}^{\mathrm{id}_m}$ is an $\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{id}_\ell)$-module. It follows by Lemma 3.7 that it decomposes into 1-, 0- and $\eta(\ell)$-eigenspaces. Since the 1-eigenspace of id_ℓ is a subspace of $A_1^{\mathrm{id}_m}$, we have that $A_{\eta(m)}^{\mathrm{id}_m}$ decomposes solely into 0- and $\eta(\ell)$-eigenspaces. This gives the eigenvalues $\eta(m) - 0 = \eta(m)$ and $\eta(m) - \eta(\ell)$. $\square$

3.9 Corollary. *The coset axis $x = x_{\mathrm{Sym}(m)/\mathrm{Sym}(\ell)}$ is primitive only if $m = \ell + 1$.*

Proof. We continue to use the notation of the previous Lemma. The 1-eigenspace A_1^x of x in A contains $A_1^{\mathrm{id}_m} \cap A_0^{\mathrm{id}_\ell}$. By Lemma 3.7, this has dimension $|S_m - S_\ell| + |\mathrm{C}_{D \cap \mathrm{Sym}(m)}(D \cap \mathrm{Sym}(\ell))|$; evidently this is 1 if and only if $m = \ell + 1$. $\square$

3.10 Theorem. *The coset axis* $x_{\mathrm{Sym}(m)/\mathrm{Sym}(\ell)}$ *satisfies the fusion rules* Φ*:*

$\star$	1	0	$\eta(m)$	$1-\eta(\ell)$	$\eta(m)-\eta(\ell)$
1	$\{1\}$	$\emptyset$	$\{\eta(m)\}$	$\{1-\eta(\ell)\}$	$\{\eta(m)-\eta(\ell)\}$
0		$\{0\}$	$\{\eta(m)\}$	$\{1-\eta(\ell)\}$	$\{\eta(m)-\eta(\ell)\}$
$\eta(m)$			$\{1,0,\eta(m)\}$	$\{\eta(m)-\eta(\ell)\}$	$\{1-\eta(\ell),\eta(m)-\eta(\ell)\}$
$1-\eta(\ell)$				$\{1,0,1-\eta(\ell)\}$	$\{\eta(m),\eta(m)-\eta(\ell)\}$
$\eta(m)-\eta(\ell)$					$\{1,0,\eta(m),1-\eta(\ell),\eta(m)-\eta(\ell)\}$

Proof. We first calculate the fusion rules $(\Phi',\bullet)$ for eigenvectors of $\mathrm{id}_m=\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho}$, for $(\mathrm{Sym}(m),E)\subseteq(\mathrm{Sym}(n),D)$, $D=(1,2)^{\mathrm{Sym}(n)}$, in $A_3^\alpha(\mathrm{Sym}(n),D)$. These turn out to be

$\bullet$	1	0	$\eta(m)$
1	$\{1\}$	$\emptyset$	$\{\eta(m)\}$
0		$\{0\}$	$\{\eta(m)\}$
$\eta(m)$			$\{1,0,\eta(m)\}$

From this, the fusion rules Φ will follow: every eigenvector of x is an eigenvector for id_m and an eigenvector for id_ℓ, by Lemma 3.8. Hence, for two eigenvectors u and v of x with associated eigenvalues κ,λ and μ,ν for $\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{id}_m),\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{id}_\ell)$ respectively, we have that

$$uv\in(A_\kappa^{\mathrm{id}_m}A_\mu^{\mathrm{id}_m})\cap(A_\lambda^{\mathrm{id}_\ell}A_\nu^{\mathrm{id}_\ell})=A_{\kappa\bullet\mu}^{\mathrm{id}_m}\cap A_{\lambda\bullet\nu}^{\mathrm{id}_\ell}=A^x_{\kappa\bullet\mu-\lambda\bullet\nu},\tag{18}$$

with further restrictions arising from the containment of eigenspaces described in Lemma 3.8. Therefore $(\kappa-\lambda)\star(\mu-\nu)=\kappa\bullet\mu-\lambda\bullet\nu$, where $S-T$ is the pointwise difference $\{s-t\mid s\in S,t\in T\}$ of sets S,T. We exhibit two exemplary deductions:

$$\begin{aligned}0\star\eta(m)&=(1\bullet\eta(m)-1\bullet0)\cup(0\bullet\eta(m)-0\bullet0)\\&=(\{\eta(m)\}-\emptyset)\cup(\{\eta(m)\}-\{0\})=\emptyset\cup\{\eta(m)\}=\{\eta(m)\},\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(m)\star(\eta(m)-\eta(\ell))&=\eta(m)\bullet\eta(m)-0\bullet\eta(l)=\{1,0,\eta(m)\}-\{\eta(\ell)\}\\&=\{1-\eta(\ell),\eta(m)-\eta(\ell)\}.\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

Now to prove the rules we stated for $\bullet$. Note that there is nothing to prove to show that $\eta(m)\bullet\eta(m)=\{1,0,\eta(m)\}$. It is clear that $E^\rho E^\rho\subseteq E^\rho$, so $1\bullet1=\{1\}$. Set $S=\mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{Sym}(m))$. Also $1\bullet0=\emptyset$, for if $e\in E$ and $d\in\mathrm{C}_D(E)$ we have $e^\rho d^\rho=0$, and by (15),

$$e\Big(\sum_{c\in S}(cz)^\rho-\alpha\,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho}\Big)=\alpha e-\alpha e=0.\tag{21}$$

We will observe that $0\bullet0=\{0\}$ case by case. As before, $\mathrm{C}_D(E)^\rho\,\mathrm{C}_D(E)^\rho\subseteq\mathrm{C}_D(E)$, which demonstrates that the product of two 0-eigenvectors of types (12) is again a 0-eigenvector. If $(yy')\in\mathrm{C}_D(E)$ is arbitrary then

$$(yy')^\rho\sum_{c\in S}(cz)^\rho-(yy')^\rho\alpha\,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho}\tag{22}$$

is 0 when $y' \neq z$, because all elements commute; if however $y' = z$, then

$$= \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{c \in S} ((cz)^\rho + (yz)^\rho - (cy)^\rho) = \frac{\alpha}{2} |S|(yz) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{c \in S} ((cz)^\rho - (cy)^\rho), \tag{23}$$

and of course (yz) is a 0-eigenvector for id_{E^ρ}, and using (16),

$$\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} \sum_{c \in S} ((cz)^\rho - (cy)^\rho) = \mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} \sum_{c \in S} (cz)^\rho - \mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} \sum_{c \in S} (cy)^\rho = \alpha \,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} - \alpha \,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} = 0. \tag{24}$$

Therefore the product of 0-eigenvectors of types (12) and (13) is also a 0-eigenvector. Finally, for $y, z \notin S$, we compute the product of two 0-eigenvectors of type (13):

$$\Big(\sum_{a \in S} (ay)^\rho - \alpha \,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho}\Big)\Big(\sum_{b \in S} (az)^\rho - \alpha \,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho}\Big) = \Big(\sum_{\substack{a \in S \\ b \in S}} (ay)^\rho (bz)^\rho\Big) - \alpha^2 \,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} \tag{25}$$

Supposing that $y \neq z$, that equals

$$= \Big(\sum_{a \in S} (ay)^\rho (az)^\rho\Big) - \alpha^2 \,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} = \Big(\frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{a \in S} (ay)^\rho + (az)^\rho - (yz)^\rho\Big) - \alpha^2 \,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} \tag{26}$$

and, when we multiply by id_{E^ρ}, this kills the terms $(yz)^\rho$ and leaves us with $\frac{\alpha}{2}(\alpha \,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} + \alpha \,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho}) - \alpha^2 \,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} = 0$. On the other hand, suppose $y = z$ and continue from (25):

$$= \Big(\sum_{a \in S} (az)^\rho + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{b \in S} ((az)^\rho + (bz)^\rho - (ab)^\rho)\Big) - \alpha^2 \,\mathrm{id} \tag{27}$$

$$= \sum_{a \in S} (az)^\rho - \alpha \sum E^\rho + \alpha(m-1) \sum_{a \in S} (az)^\rho - \alpha \,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho}\,. \tag{28}$$

Multiplying by id_{E^ρ}, we get $\alpha \,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} - \alpha \sum E^\rho + \alpha^2(m-1) \,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} - \alpha^2 \,\mathrm{id}_{E^\rho} = \alpha \,(\sum E^\rho - \sum E^\rho) = 0$.

The remaining cases $1 \bullet \eta(m), 0 \bullet \eta(m)$ we omit. They are along the same lines as the previous calculations. □

3.3 Central Charges

The *central charge* $\mathrm{cc}(x)$ of an idempotent x in an algebra with bilinear form $\langle , \rangle$ is defined to be $\frac{1}{2}\langle x, x\rangle$. (The name, and the factor $\frac{1}{2}$, comes from extension of the Virasoro-VOA case, as we will explore below.) Also, a unital algebra A has central charge $\mathrm{cc}(A) = \mathrm{cc}(\mathrm{id}_A) = \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathrm{id}_A, \mathrm{id}_A\rangle$.

In (3), we remarked that an algebra $A = A_3^\alpha(G, D)$ admits a bilinear form. We use this to study coset axes.

3.11 Lemma. *Suppose that $A = A_3^\alpha(G, D)$ for a k-regular (G, D). Then $\mathrm{cc}(A) = \dfrac{|D|}{2 + \alpha k}$.*

Proof. By straightforward calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{cc}(A) = \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathrm{id}_A, \mathrm{id}_A\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha k)^2} \Big\langle \sum D^\rho, \sum D^\rho \Big\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2(1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha k)^2} \sum_{d \in D} \Big(\langle d^\rho, d^\rho \rangle + \sum_{e \in \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)} \langle d^\rho, e^\rho \rangle + \sum_{e \in \mathrm{C}_D^{\times}(d)} \langle d^\rho, e^\rho \rangle \Big) \\
&= \frac{1}{2(1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha k)^2} \sum_{d \in D} (1 + k\frac{\alpha}{2} + 0) = \frac{|D|}{2 + \alpha k}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3.12 Corollary. *If X_n is a simply-laced root system, then $\mathrm{cc}(A_3^\alpha(\mathrm{X}_n)) = \dfrac{n h_{\mathrm{X}_n}}{4(1 + \alpha(h_{\mathrm{X}_n} - 2))}$.* □

3.13 Lemma. *Suppose that $(K,F) \le (H,E) \le (G,D)$ is a chain of transposition groups, with (K,F) and (H,E) k_F and k_E-regular respectively. Then, in $A_3^\alpha(G,D)$,*

$$\langle \mathrm{id}_{E^\rho}, \mathrm{id}_{F^\rho} \rangle = \langle \mathrm{id}_{F^\rho}, \mathrm{id}_{F^\rho} \rangle.$$

Proof. The noncommuting elements outside of F make up the difference in scaling between id_E and id_F. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathrm{id}_{E^\rho}, \mathrm{id}_{F^\rho} \rangle &= \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha k_E} \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha k_F} \Big\langle \sum E^\rho, \sum F^\rho \Big\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{(1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha k_E)(1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha k_F)} \sum_{f\in F} \Big(\sum_{f'\in F} \langle f, f' \rangle + \sum_{e \in \mathrm{C}_E^{\mathrm{c}}(F)} \langle f, e \rangle + \sum_{e \in \mathrm{C}_E^{\times}(F)} \langle f, e \rangle \Big) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha k_E)(1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha k_F)} \sum_{f\in F} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha k_F + (k_E - k_F)\frac{\alpha}{2} + 0 \right) \\
&= \frac{|F|(1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha k_E)}{(1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha k_E)(1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha k_F)} = \frac{|F|}{1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha k_F}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3.14 Corollary. *The central charge of the coset axis $x_{H/K}$ is $\dfrac{|E|}{2+\alpha k_E} - \dfrac{|F|}{2+\alpha k_F}$.* □

3.15 Corollary. *The coset axis $x_m = x_{\mathrm{A}_m/\mathrm{A}_{m-1}}$ has central charge*

$$\mathrm{cc}(x_m) = \frac{m(2+\alpha(m-3))}{4(1+\alpha(m-1))(1+\alpha(m-2))}; \tag{29}$$

the coset axis $y_m = y_{\mathrm{D}_m/\mathrm{D}_{m-1}}$, for $m > 4$, has central charge

$$\mathrm{cc}(y_m) = \frac{(m-1)(1+\alpha(m-4))}{(1+\alpha(2m-4))(1+\alpha(2m-6))}. \tag{30}$$

Proof. We can apply Corollary 3.14 using that $W(\mathrm{X}_n)$ is $2h_{\mathrm{X}_n} - 4$-regular. □

Now, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$f_\alpha^{\mathrm{A}} \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad f_\alpha^{\mathrm{A}}(m) = \frac{m(2+\alpha(m-3))}{4(1+\alpha(m-1))(1+\alpha(m-2))}, \tag{31}$$

$$f_\alpha^{\mathrm{D}} \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad f_\alpha^{\mathrm{D}}(m) = \frac{(m-1)(1+\alpha(m-4))}{(1+\alpha(2m-4))(1+\alpha(2m-6))}. \tag{32}$$

Note that $f_\alpha^{\mathrm{A}}(1) = \frac{1}{2} = f_\alpha^{\mathrm{D}}(1)$, that is, for all values of α; this corresponds to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Sym}(1)}$ being an axis of central charge $\frac{1}{2}$ in our setup and allowing $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Sym}(0)} = 0$. Also observe

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} f_\alpha^{\mathrm{A}}(m) = \frac{1}{4\alpha} = \lim_{m\to\infty} f_\alpha^{\mathrm{D}}(m). \tag{33}$$

It is not clear, at this stage, whether or not the form $\langle , \rangle$ on $A_3^\alpha(G,D)$ is positive-definite. But supposing we desire positive-definiteness, certainly we want $f_\alpha^{\mathrm{A}}(\mathbb{Z}), f_\alpha^{\mathrm{D}}(\mathbb{Z} > 4)$ to be bounded below by 0. Clearly then $\alpha \ge 0$.

The limit of $f_\alpha^{\mathrm{A}}(m)$ suggests a possible specialisation of α: if we desire $\mathrm{cc}(x_m)$ to be bounded by 1 for all m, the smallest possible α is $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$. In this case we have

$$f_{1/4}^{\mathrm{A}}(m) = \frac{m(m+5)}{(m+2)(m+3)} = 1 - \frac{6}{(m+2)(m+3)} \tag{34}$$

$$f_{1/4}^{\mathrm{D}}(m) = \frac{m(m-1)}{m(m-1)} = 1. \tag{35}$$

We also calculate

$$f^{\mathrm{A}}_{1/32}(m) = \frac{8m(m+61)}{(m+30)(m+31)} = 8\left(1 - \frac{930}{(m+30)(m+31)}\right). \tag{36}$$

3.4 Relations to Virasoro representations

For certain choices of (G, D) and α, it turns out that the algebra $A = A_3^\alpha(G, D)$ lies inside a VOA and carries representations of the Virasoro algebra.

In particular, let X_n be a simply-laced root system. Then [FLM98], in points 8.9.5 and 8.9.7, provides a construction of a so-called lattice vertex operator algebra $V(\mathrm{X}_n)$. In particular, its weight-2 subspace $V(\mathrm{X}_n)_2$ is closed under the product $\cdot_1\cdot$ and admits an associating bilinear form by $\cdot_3\cdot$; see also [DLMN96]. We present a generalised version $\hat{A} = \hat{A}_3^\alpha(\mathrm{X}_n)$ of $V(\mathrm{X}_n)_2$, which contains a copy of $A_3^\alpha(\mathrm{X}_n)$. In effect, if the Φ_3^α-axes in $A_3^\alpha(\mathrm{X}_n)$ correspond to a set of positive roots of X_n, then $\hat{A}_3^\alpha(\mathrm{X}_n)$ contains also idempotents corresponding to negative roots.

A basis of $\hat{A} = \hat{A}_3^\alpha(G, D)$ may be parametrised as $\{d^\rho = d^\rho_+, d^\rho_- \mid d \in D\}$, with algebra product and form, for $c, d \in D$ and $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \{+, -\}$,

$$c^\rho_\varepsilon d^\rho_{\varepsilon'} = \begin{cases} d^\rho & \text{if } c = d \text{ and } \varepsilon = \varepsilon', \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}(c^\rho_\varepsilon + d^\rho_{\varepsilon'} - (c^d)^\rho_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}) & \text{if } [c,d] \neq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \qquad \langle c^\rho_\varepsilon, d^\rho_{\varepsilon'}\rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c = d \text{ and } \varepsilon = \varepsilon', \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} & \text{if } [c,d] \neq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

Theorem 3.1 of [DLMN96] is that there exists an isometric surjection from $\hat{A}_3^{1/4}(\mathrm{X}_n)$ onto $V(\mathrm{X}_n)_2$; furthermore, the surjection is given by quotienting the radical of the form, which is trivial precisely when $\mathrm{X}_n = \mathrm{A}_n$.

Recall that Miyamoto's theorem [M96] states that any idempotent e in a moonshine-type VOA V carries a representation of the Virasoro algebra of central charge equal to the central charge of the idempotent. This means that the subalgebra $A = V_2$ admits a decomposition $A = \langle e\rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{h \text{ h.w. } \mathrm{Vir}_c} A^{2e}_h$, where h ranges over the highest weights of Vir_c and A^{2e}_h is, as usual, the (possibly empty) h-eigenspace of $\mathrm{ad}(2e)$ on A. Furthermore, the eigenspace A^{2e}_h is an isotypic component of the representation, each 1-dimensional subspace forming part of an irreducible representation of highest weight h. The fusion rules, similar to Clebsch–Gordan coefficients for tensor products of irreducible modules, dictate the fusion of irreducible modules under the constraint of fixed central charge, and hence also restrict in which eigenspaces the product of two eigenvectors may lie. This is of particular interest when Vir_c has finitely many irreducible modules, *i.e.,* highest weights, namely, for $c = c(p, q)$ in (7), lying between 0 and 1.

From Miyamoto's theorem we deduce that the coset axes (and identities) of the algebra $A_3^{1/4}(\mathrm{X}_n)$ carry representations of the Virasoro algebra. The results of [FLM98] showing the existence of the VOA $V(\mathrm{X}_n)$ and its subalgebra $A = A_3^{1/4}(\mathrm{X}_n)$, together with our analysis of A, give a new formula for some highest weights of Vir_c for varying values of $c = c(m+1, m)$, and indicates a subset of the fusion rules for the relevant irreducible representations.

In particular, from (7) and Corollary 3.15 via (34) we deduce that $x_m = x_{A_m/A_{m-1}}$ carries a representation of $\mathrm{Vir}_{c(m+3,m+2)}$. We also know that its eigenvalues are $1, 0, \eta(m+1), 1-\eta(m)$ and $\eta(m+1) - \eta(m)$. Specialised to the case $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$ from Lemma 3.8, we have $\eta_{1/4}(m) = \dfrac{m}{2(m+2)}$, and the eigenvalues of x_m are $1, 0$ and

$$\eta_{1/4}(m+1) = \frac{m+1}{2(m+3)}, \quad 1 - \eta_{1/4}(m) = \frac{m+4}{2(m+2)}, \quad \eta_{1/4}(m+1) - \eta_{1/4}(m) = \frac{1}{(m+2)(m+3)}. \tag{38}$$

3.16 Observation. *By inspection (confer* (8)*), we find that*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{2}h_{1,1}^{c(m+3,m+2)}, \\ \eta_{1/4}(m+1) &= \frac{1}{2}h_{3,1}^{c(m+3,m+2)}, \\ 1-\eta_{1/4}(m) &= \frac{1}{2}h_{1,3}^{c(m+3,m+2)}, \\ \eta_{1/4}(m+1)-\eta_{1/4}(m) &= \frac{1}{2}h_{3,3}^{c(m+3,m+2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

(Recall that the factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ is an artifact of different choices of scaling. For related reasons, eigenvalue 1 does not enter the picture.)

3.5 Additional eigenvalues in $\hat{A}_3^\alpha(\mathrm{A}_n)$

We will observe that the coset axis $x_{\mathrm{A}_m/\mathrm{A}_{m-1}}$ has up to seven distinct eigenvalues in $\hat{A}_3^\alpha(\mathrm{A}_n)$. By extending the work of Section 3.2, we find the two additional eigenvalues in Corollary 3.20, and make deductions along the lines of Section 3.4 culminating in Obsevation 3.21.

3.17 Lemma. *In $A = A_3^\alpha(G,D) \subseteq \hat{A} = \hat{A}_3^\alpha(G,D)$, for a k-regular (G,D),*

$$d_-^\rho \,\mathrm{id}_A = \frac{\alpha}{2+\alpha k}\Big(kd_-^\rho + \sum_{c\in \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)}(c_+^\rho - c_-^\rho)\Big).$$

Proof. Using (5) and (37),

$$d_-^\rho \sum D_+^\rho = d_-^\rho\Big(d_+^\rho + \sum_{c\in \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)} c_+^\rho + \sum_{c\in \mathrm{C}_D^{\times}(d)} c_+^\rho\Big) = \frac{\alpha}{2}\sum_{c\in \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)}(d_-^\rho + c_+^\rho - (c^d)_-^\rho) = \frac{\alpha}{2}|\mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)|d_-^\rho + \frac{\alpha}{2}\sum_{c\in \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)}(c_+^\rho - (d^c)_-^\rho). \tag{40}$$

Note that $\{d^c \mid c \in \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)\} = \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)$, so summing over d^c for all $c \in \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)$ is the same as summing over $c \in \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)$. □

3.18 Lemma. *Suppose that $a,b,c,d \in D = D(\mathrm{A}_{m-1})$ such that $\langle a,b\rangle, \langle c,d\rangle \cong {C_2}^2$ have equal support. Then*

$$\alpha(a_+^\rho + b_+^\rho - c_+^\rho - d_+^\rho) + (1-\alpha)(a_-^\rho + b_-^\rho - c_-^\rho - d_-^\rho) \tag{41}$$

is a $\hat{\eta}(m) = \dfrac{\alpha(m-1)}{1+\alpha(m-2)}$-eigenvector of id_A.

Proof. From Lemma 3.17 we get an expansion

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{id}_A(a_- + b_- - c_- - d_-) \\ &\quad= \frac{\alpha}{2+\alpha k}\left(k(a_- + b_- - c_- - d_-) + \sum_{x\in \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(a)}(x_+ - x_-) + \sum_{x\in \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(b)}(x_+ - x_-) - \sum_{x\in \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(c)}(x_+ - x_-) - \sum_{x\in \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)}(x_+ - x_-)\right) \\ &\quad= \frac{\alpha}{2+\alpha k}\left((k+2)(a_- + b_- - c_- - d_-) - 2(a_+ + b_+ - c_+ - d_+)\right). \end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows as follows. Suppose $x \in \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(a) \cup \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(b) \cup \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(c) \cup \mathrm{C}_D^{\mathrm{c}}(d)$. If $x \notin \{a,b,c,d\}$ then x does not commute with precisely two of $\{a,b,c,d\}$, and these two themselves do not commute; the contributions of x then cancel. Otherwise, $x \in \{a,b,c,d\}$ and does not commute with two commuting elements, and $2(x_+^\rho - x_-^\rho)$ remains. Evidently $a_+^\rho + b_+^\rho - c_+^\rho - d_+^\rho$ is a 1-eigenvector for id_A, so we can solve to find the result. □

3.19 Lemma. *The $\hat\eta(m+1)$-eigenspace of $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{A}_m}$ decomposes into $\eta(m)$- and $\hat\eta(m)$-eigenvectors for $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{A}_{m-1}}$.*

Proof. Take $D = D(\mathrm{A}_m)$ and $E = D(\mathrm{A}_{m-1})$, $a, b, c, d \in D$ as in Lemma 3.18 composing the $\hat\eta(m+1)$-eigenvector x of id_m, and let Ω be the support of a, b, c, d. Then either Ω is contained in the support of E, in which case (41) is a $\hat\eta(m)$-eigenvector for $\mathrm{id}_m = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{A}_m}$. Or $|\Omega \cap \mathrm{Supp}(E)| = 3$, and we suppose without loss of generality that $a, c \in E$ and $b, d \notin E$. Then there exist $b', d' \in E$ such that a, b', c, d' are as in Lemma 3.18, so

$$x' = \alpha(a_+^\rho + b_+'^\rho - c_+^\rho - d_+'^\rho) + (1-\alpha)(a_-^\rho + b_-'^\rho - c_-^\rho - d_-'^\rho) \tag{42}$$

is a $\hat\eta(m)$-eigenvector for id_m. Also

$$x'' = \alpha(a_+^\rho + 2b_+^\rho - b_+'^\rho - c_+^\rho - 2d_+^\rho + d_+'^\rho) - (1-\alpha)(a_-^\rho + 2b_-^\rho - b_-'^\rho - c_-^\rho - 2d_-^\rho - d_-'^\rho) \tag{43}$$

is a $\eta(m)$-eigenvector for id_m, and it is clear that $x = \frac{1}{2}(x' + x'')$. □

Note that the last lemma would fail for id_m and id_ℓ if $\ell < m-1$. In that case, many more eigenvalues are possible and do occur.

3.20 Corollary. *The eigenvectors of $x_m = x_{\mathrm{A}_m/\mathrm{A}_{m-1}}$ in $\hat A_3^\alpha(\mathrm{A}_m)$ are*

$$1, \quad 0, \quad \eta(m+1), 1-\eta(m), \quad \eta(m+1)-\eta(m), \quad \hat\eta(m+1)-\eta(m), \quad \hat\eta(m+1)-\hat\eta(m). \qquad \square$$

We specialise the new eigenvectors to the case $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$: as $\hat\eta_{1/4}(m) = \dfrac{m-1}{m+2}$ in this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat\eta_{1/4}(m+1) - \eta_{1/4}(m) &= \frac{m(m+1)}{2(m+2)(m+3)}, \\ \hat\eta_{1/4}(m+1) - \hat\eta_{1/4}(m) &= \frac{3}{(m+2)(m+3)}. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

It is now a simple matter for us to complement Observation 3.16 with

3.21 Observation. *We see that*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat\eta_{1/4}(m+1) - \eta_{1/4}(m) &= \frac{1}{2} h_{5,3}^{c(m+3,m+2)}, \\ \hat\eta_{1/4}(m+1) - \hat\eta_{1/4}(m) &= \frac{1}{2} h_{5,5}^{c(m+3,m+2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

References

[B68] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algb́res de Lie*, Chaps. 4–6, Hermann, 1968.

[CR13] A. Castillo-Ramirez, *Associative Subalgebras of Low-Dimensional Majorana Algebras*, preprint, to appear.

[CH95] H. Cuypers, J. I. Hall, *The* 3*-transposition groups with trivial center*, J. Algebra 178: 149–193, 1995.

[CFT] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, D. Sénéchal, *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1996.

[DGH91] C. Dong, R. L. Griess, G. Höhn, *Framed vertex operator algebras, codes and the Moonshine module*, Comm. Math. Phys 193: 407–448, 1998.

[DLMN96] C. Dong, H. Li, G. Mason, S. P. Norton, *Associative subalgebras of the Griess algebra and related topics*, in *The Monster and Lie algebras* (proceedings, ed. J. Ferrar, K. Harada), Ohio State / de Gruyter, 1998.

[DMZ91] C. Dong, G. Mason, Y. Zhu, *Discrete series of the Virasoro algebra and the moonshine module*, in *Algebraic Groups and their Generalisations: Quantum and Infinite-Dimensional Methods* (ed. W. J. Haboush, B. J. Parshall), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 56, AMS 1994.

[FLM98] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, A. Meurman, *Vertex operator algebras and the Monster*, Academic Press, 1998.

[GKO85] P. Goddard, A. Kent, D. Olive, *Virasoro algebras and coset space models*, Physics Letters 152: 88–92, 1985.

[G82] R. L. Griess, *The friendly giant*, Invent. Math. 69: 1–102, 1982.

[HRS13] J. I. Hall, F. Rehren, S. Shpectorov, *Primitive axial algebras of Jordan type*, preprint.

[MN93] W. Meyer, W. Neutsch, *Associative subalgebras of the Griess algebra*, J. Algebra 158: 1–17, 1993.

[M96] M. Miyamoto, *Griess algebras and conformal vectors in vertex operator algebras*, J. Algebra 179: 523–548, 1996.

[W93] W. Wang, *Rationality of Virasoro vertex operator algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 71: 197-211, 1993.